Budget Proposals 2012/13: Major Decision: Business Unit: Spatial Planning

Combined Impact Assessment: Full assessment (Part 2)

The council and its partners are facing a significant challenge in the savings it needs to make over the next couple of years. This Full Impact Assessment has been developed as a tool to enable business units to fully consider the impact of proposed major decisions on the community. As a council we need to ensure that we are able to deliver the savings that we need to make from the 1st April and be able to justify our decisions through any legal challenge.

This full assessment, combined with the initial review, will evidence that you have fully considered the impact of your proposed changes and carried out appropriate consultation on those changes with the key stakeholders. The Combined Impact Assessment will allow Councillors to make informed decisions as part of the decision-making process regarding the council's budget.

Name: Mark Irving/David Whiteway Position: Senior Service Manager - Customer Service & Planning/

Senior Transport Planner

Business Unit: Place & Environment Department: Spatial Planning

Date: January 2012

Summary from Overall Proposal (Updated as required)

	Savings	s 2012/13	Implementation	Delivery In place	Risks / impact of proposals		Type of decision*	
Proposals – Outline	Income £ 000's	Budget reduction £ 000's	Cost Include brief outline + year incurred	o1/04/12 • Potential risks tline If earlier • Impact on community	Internal	Minor	Major	
Renegotiate Concessionary Fares Rate		400	£5,000 maximum	1/5/12	 If Operators do not agree with cuts an appeal could be made Cuts to services affecting less commercially viable routes Could lead to Highways Department having to subsidise routes 			V

Stage 1: Purpose of the proposal

No	Question	Details			
1.	Clearly set out the purpose of the proposal	nange the reimbursement rate to bus operators (6 affected) for concessionary fares as per Department for Transport uidance			
2.	Who is intended to	Bus Operators: Stagecoach, Local Link, First, Country Bus, Devonian Motor Services, Riverlink.			
	benefit/will this affect?	embers of the public if these companies decide to cut routes			
3.	What is the intended	Reduction of budget provision for concessionary fares by £400k.			
	outcome?	pply DFT guidance in setting concessionary fares rates.			
		Allow the Council to take strategic decisions on which services to support via subsidy.			

Stage 2: Evidence, Consultation and Engagement

No	Question	Details			
4.	Have you considered the	Guidance considered and applied with first letter outlining proposals sent to operators 22/12/11.			
	available evidence?	e are now in a consultation phase in which operators can make their representations.			
5.	How have you consulted	consulted First letter outlining proposals sent to operators 22/12/11.			
	on the proposal?	We are now in a consultation phase in which operators can make their representations.			

No	Question				Details			
6.	Who have you consulted with?	6 Bus Operators: Stagecoach, Local Link, First, Country Bus, Devonian Motor Services, Riverlink Wider public budget consultation events have taken place. Questionnaires have also been issued to the pupaper copies) and the "viewpoint" panel have received copies of the questionnaire. Questionnaire Results: Renegotiate the concessionary bus fare. (Potential saving: £400,000) Questionnaires % No Yes 168 67% Grand Total Public Meetings: Would you support a proposal to renegotiate the concessionary bus fare? (£400k)						
			Yes	.,	No	.,		
		Venue	Count	%	Count	<u>%</u>	40	
		Westlands	9	90%	1	10%	10	
		T.C.C	10	50%	10	50%	20	
		Paignton	18	86%	3	14%	21 7	
		Dunboyne Total	5 42	71% 72%	2 16	29% 28%		
		Total	42	12/0	10	20 /0	j 36	
7.	How many people responded?		eceived to date from 6 lease see data above fo	•	•		k, First, Country Bus, Devonian Motor ings.	
8.	Outline the key findings?		ss to say regarding the f Services, Riverlink but k				s: Stagecoach, Local Link, First, Country inal decision.	

No	Question	Details
9.	What amendments may be required as a result of the consultation?	Amount of reduction in reimbursement rates may be renegotiated. Council may choose to subsidise services.
10.	How will the results be published?	A letter will be sent to all affected operators 28 days prior to implementation informing them of the final reimbursement rates. Bus companies are required to provide 56 days notice to the traffic commissioner to make any changes to bus routes. This 56 day period gives the Council an opportunity to consider subsidising any threatened routes.

Stage 3: Impact Assessment

0	Question		Details
	Identify the potential positive and negative impacts on specific groups		
		Positive Impact	Negative Impact
	All groups in society generally	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement. This would impact most significantly on those without access
			to a private vehicle.
	Older or younger people	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.
			This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.
	People with caring responsibilities	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement. This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.
	People with a disability	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to

Question	Details		
		reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.	
		This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.	
Women or men	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.	
		This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.	
People who are black or from a minority ethnic background (BME)	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.	
		This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.	
People with particular religion or belief / no belief	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.	
		This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.	
People who are lesbian, gay or bisexual	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.	
		This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.	
People who are transgender	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.	
		This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.	
People who are in a	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to	

No	Question	Details				
	marriage or civil partnership		reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.			
			This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.			
	Women who are pregnant / on maternity leave	No direct impact	There is the possibility that bus operators may decide to reduce or remove services as a result of the reduction to concessionary fares reimbursement.			
			This would impact most significantly on those without access to a private vehicle.			
12.	What are the impacts of your proposals to other agencies?	At this stage there are no impacts foreseen, however if o people may need to be consulted over subsidy decisions	perators subsequently cut services groups that represent vulnerable .			
13.	Does your proposal link to other decisions you are making?	Links to the Local Transport Plan 3 and current bids to the Local Sustainable Transport Fund.				
14.	Is there scope for your proposal to eliminate discrimination, promote	Bus operators may subsequently reduce or remove services which would impact significantly on those without access to a private vehicle – this could be mitigated by providing a bus subsidy to routes affected.				
	equality of opportunity and/or foster good relations?	There is therefore limited opportunity to achieve any of these outcomes as a result of this proposal.				

Stage 4: Course of Action

No	Action	Details			
15.	State a course of action	Outcome 1: No major change required - CIA has not identified any potential for adverse impact and all opportunities to promote equality have been taken. This may need to be reviewed following negotiations has taken place with operators. This could include a detailed impact assessment due to the proposed closure of specific bus route(s).			
16.	Identify any plans to alleviate any negative impacts	Amount of reduction in reimbursement rates may be renegotiated. Council may choose to subsidise services. Increased of community bus services could be employed			

Stage 5: Monitoring

No	Action	Details			
17.	Outline plans to monitor	e full impact of decisions will only be known once it is introduced. We will monitor the impact of the proposal via:			
	the actual impact of				
	proposals	Customer complaints/feedback			
	• •	Bus operator feedback			
		Budget monitoring of actual reduced level of reimbursement to bus operators			
		 Notifications to the traffic commissioner to make any changes to bus routes 			
		Monitoring of bus patronage and access to services via public transport			

COMBINED IMPACT ASSESSMENT (PARTS 1 & 2) NEEDS TO BE SENT TO THE BUSINESS SERVICES TEAM FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE. IT WILL THEN BE SENT TO THE FINANCE BOARD AND USED AS PART OF THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS BY COUNCILLORS.

Notes:

- The Equality Duty needs to be an integral part of the decision making process. Decision makers must consider what information he/she has and what further information may be needed in order to give proper consideration to the Equality Duty.
- Commissioned services No delegation. Public bodies are responsible for ensuring that any third parties which exercise functions on their behalf are capable of complying with the Equality Duty, are required to comply with it and that they do so in practice.

Action plan / mitigating actions

Please detail below any actions / mitigating actions you need to take: -

No.	Action	Reason for action / mitigating action	Responsibility	Deadline date
1	Initial letter to bus operator notifying them of consultation on proposal	To give operators prior notice of proposed changes to reimbursement rates	DW	Completed on 22/12/11
2	Consultation Period	Receive representations from bus operators regarding the proposed changes to reimbursement rates	DW	Responses required by the end of March 2012
3	Formal 28 day notification of outcome of consultation	To give operators final notice of adopted changes to reimbursement rates	DW	02/04/12
4	Bus operators to provide 56 days notice to the traffic commissioner to make any changes to bus routes	To inform the traffic commissioner to make any changes to bus routes (reductions in frequency or services removed)	Bus Operators	56 days prior to their implementation
5	Council may consider subsidising threatened services	To take a Council wide decision on whether or not to protect threatened bus services in the context of other budget pressures.	PC	To be confirmed
6				